THE O'	Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231
SERIAL NUMBER   FILING DATE   FIRST NAM	ED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/292.286 08/18/94 STANLEY	M S6292 EXAMINER GARBE, S
C2M1/11 DENNIS H LAMBERT & ASSOCIATES 7000 VIEW PARK DR BURKE VA 22015	
This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application	3207 Date Mailed: 11/16/95
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	nication filed on 9/13/85
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application	n to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133
Part   THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACT	10N:
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.</li> <li>Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.</li> <li>Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.</li> <li>Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152.</li> <li>         6.     </li> </ol>
Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION	
1. FClaims 1-20	are pending in the application.
Of the above, claims # and 7~15	are withdrawn from consideration.
2. Claims	have been cancelled.
3. Claims	are allowed.
4. [ Claims 1-3, 56, and 16-26	
5. Claims	are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C	
8.  Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.	
9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on areacceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of)  The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on	. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).
10. The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed of examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).	n has (have) been approved by the
11. The proposed drawing correction, filed	has been approved; disapproved (see explanation).
12. Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C    Deen tiled in parent application, serial no	C. 119. The certified copy has Deen received D not been received
13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	
14. Other	

Serial Number: 08/292,286 -2-

Art Unit: 3207

٠,

## Part III DETAILED ACTION

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed inventions: I, I, III.

- I. The bag and a header having the form of a rigid rod configuration.
- II. The bag and a header having the form of a rectangularly shaped bar; a connecting bar having a flange that can be interengaged with the main body portion via plurality of pins; and a stitching that connects the two sides of the bag to the connector bar.
- III. The bag and a header having the form of a rectangularly shaped bar; the header is secured to a rectangular flange; which the material of the bag is folded in half, and the opposite edges of the material are stitched to the flange.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 2 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Serial Number: 08/292,286

Art Unit: 3207

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Stephen Garbe at telephone number (703) 308-1207.

-3-